

State of Florida  
Department of Transportation

District Five Construction

MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 7, 2009

TO: Selection Committee

FROM: Jonathan Duazo

SUBJECT: FINAL CONSULTANT SELECTION  
CEI Group 105 – SunRail Consultant CEI  
FIN No. 412994-4, 412994-3, 423446-2, 423446-3, 423446-8

**FINAL CONSULTANT SELECTION RECOMMENDATION**

The Technical Review Committee composed of Frank J. O’Dea, P.E., David Sadler, P.E. and Jessie has completed the evaluation of the written technical proposals and the question and answer sessions given by the three short listed firms.

The following is an executive summary of the technical evaluation.

**HNTB Corporation**

HNTB provided a team of Rail personnel with outstanding relevant experience along with Civil and Administrative staff with pertinent FDOT experience. The proposed Sr. Project Engineer is Michael Gwynne, P.E., he showed to be a strong, pertinent leader of the group. Good understanding of FRA, AREMA and FTA requirements.

**Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.**

The Jacobs Team is made up of individuals transitioning from D5 Lake Jessup Project and a Rail Team from Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA) project. Good strength and understanding of safety. Discussed the importance of pre-condition survey being accurate and need for CEI participation in this.

**Wilbur Smith Associates**

WSA was shortlisted for the DBM contract and understands the project real well. The team identified the VSMF as the critical “Hot Spot” for this project. A couple key team members have significant design experience which could assist with the Consultant CEI contract.

The Technical Review Committee considered the proposals of the top three ranked firms to be suitable for the successful administration of this CEI contract. The Technical Review Committee recommends **HNTB Corporation** for this contract.

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
**PROFESSIONAL SERVICES SELECTION PACKAGE**  
**FINAL SELECTION**

Name of Project: CFCRT CEI

**Instructions:** Individual scores, to the nearest whole number, should be developed by each Technical Review Committee member. Individual evaluations that make up the overall technical score must be retained in a project file and shall be made available to the selection Committee upon request.

Average Scores --WRITTEN TECHNICAL PROPOSAL SCORES--							
Name of Firm	Executive Summary 0 - 30	Mgmt. Plan 0 - 40	QA Plan 0 - 10	Interview 0 - 20	Other 0 - _____	Other 0 - _____	Total 0 - 100
HNTB Corporation	28	38.67	9.67	18.67			95.01
Jacobs	27.33	38.33	9.67	17.67			93.00
WilburSmith Associates	26.67	37.33	8.67	16			88.67

Summary of major comments of the Technical Review Committee:

Average Scores --ORAL PRESENTATION SCORES--							
Name of Firm	Awareness of Issues 0 - _____	Approach to Project 0 - _____	Proposed Staffing 0 - _____	Other 0 - _____	Other 0 - _____	Other 0 - _____	Total 0 - 100

Summary of major comments of the Technical Review Committee:

TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE SELECTION RANKING


CFCRT CEI

Financial Project Nos.: 412994-3; 412994-4; 423446-2; 423446-3; 423446-8

<u>EVALUATOR</u>	<u>HNTB</u>	<u>Jacobs</u>	<u>WilburSmith</u>
<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>

Technical Review Committee's Cumulative Ranking Order

---

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>CONSULTANT</u>
 No. 1	HNTB
No. 2	JACOBS
No. 3	WILBURSMITH